

An Ensemble Kalman-Bucy Filter for correlated observation noise

Sebastian Ertel, based on joint work with Wilhelm Stannat
arXiv: 2205.14253

June 1, 2022

Correlated noise framework

We consider the **correlated noise framework** of [BC09]

$$(S) \quad dX_t = B(X_t)dt + CdW_t + \tilde{C}dV_t$$

$$(O) \quad dY_t = HX_tdt + dV_t,$$

Our goal: Compute/approximate the posterior

$$\eta_t := \mathbb{P} (X_t \in \cdot \mid Y_{0:t}).$$

Remark: Results can be generalized (colored observations noise, time inhomogeneity, non-constant diffusion, nonlinear observations etc.)

Ensemble Kalman-Bucy filter

For linear, Gaussian signals with uncorrelated observations ($\tilde{C} = 0$) the mean-field limit \bar{X} , adhering to

$$d\bar{X}_t = B(\bar{X}_t) dt + C d\bar{W}_t + \bar{P}_t H^T \left(dY_t - \frac{H(\bar{X}_t + \bar{m}_t)}{2} dt \right)$$

$$\bar{m}_t := \mathbb{E}_{Y_t} [\bar{X}_t], \quad \bar{P}_t := \text{Cov}_{Y_t} [\bar{X}_t],$$

of the EnKBF

$$dX_t^i = B(X_t^i) dt + C dW_t^i + P_t^M H^T \left(dY_t - \frac{H(X_t^i + x_t^M)}{2} dt \right)$$

$$x_t^M := \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^M X_t^j, \quad P_t^M := \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^M (X_t^j - x_t^M) (X_t^j - x_t^M)^T$$

achieves consistency $\text{Law}(\bar{X}_t) := \bar{\eta}_t = \eta_t$.

Mean-field representations

Principle of EnKF: In the linear, Gaussian case the EnKF works as follows:

1. find a mean-field process $(\bar{X}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ such that for all $t \geq 0$:

$$\text{Law}(\bar{X}_t) := \bar{\eta}_t = \eta_t \text{ (at least approximately)}$$

2. approximate \bar{X} and its law/moments by an ensemble of (interacting) particles.

Problem: How do we find/choose such a \bar{X} ?

\implies use **Kushner–Stratonovich equation** (KSE).

We follow [PRS20], which unified e.g. the filters in [CX10],[YMM13]. Correlated observation noise also covered [NRR21].

The Kushner–Stratonovich equation

Notation: For all suitable functions f let

- ▶ $Lf := \sum_{i,j} \frac{(CC^T + \tilde{C}\tilde{C}^T)_{ij}}{2} \partial_{x_i} \partial_{x_j} f - \sum_i B_i \partial_{x_i} f \dots$ generator of X ,
- ▶ $\eta_t(f) := \mathbb{E} [f(X_t) \mid Y_{0:t}]$.

Define the **innovation process** $(I_t)_{t \geq 0}$ by

$$dI_t = dY_t - \eta_t(H)dt. \quad (1)$$

The posterior η evolves according to the KSE

$$d\eta_t = L^* \eta_t dt + \left(\eta_t (HX - \eta_t(H))^T - \nabla \cdot (\eta_t \tilde{C}) \right) dI_t.$$

Why mean-field processes?

KSE is a **nonlinear, nonlocal (S)PDE** \implies represent the solution via **McKean–Vlasov SDE**

This motivates the choice

$$d\bar{X}_t = B(\bar{X}_t)dt + Cd\bar{W}_t + \tilde{C}d\bar{V}_t + a(\bar{X}_t, \bar{\eta}_t)dt + K(\bar{X}_t, \bar{\eta}_t)dY_t,$$

with

- ▶ i.i.d. copies \bar{W} and \bar{V} of W and V
- ▶ $\text{Law}(\bar{X}_t \mid Y_{0:t}) = \bar{\eta}_t$

Different interpretation of $\bar{\eta}$

Another way to define $\bar{\eta}$ suitably is, that from now on, all integrals (expectations, covariances, etc.) shall be computed from the joint law of \bar{W} and \bar{V} . Thus for any (suitable) function f we have

$$\bar{\eta}_t(f) := \int f(\bar{X}_t) \mathbb{P}^{\bar{W}}(d\bar{w}) \mathbb{P}^{\bar{V}}(d\bar{v}),$$

and we are looking for \bar{X} such that

$$\bar{\eta}_t(f) = \eta_t(f).$$

Note that \bar{W} , \bar{V} and Y are independent.

Representation via McKean–Vlasov SDEs

Goal: Find a and K such that $\bar{\eta}_t = \eta_t \implies \bar{\eta}$ satisfies the KSE.

Compare the KSE

$$d\eta_t = L^* \eta_t dt + \left(\eta_t (Hx - \eta_t(H))^T - \nabla \cdot \left(\eta_t \tilde{C} \right) \right) (dY_t - \bar{\eta}_t(H)dt)$$

and the Fokker-Planck equation of \bar{X}

$$\begin{aligned} d\bar{\eta}_t = & L^* \bar{\eta}_t dt - \nabla \cdot (\bar{\eta}_t K(\cdot, \bar{\eta}_t)) dY_t - \nabla \cdot (\bar{\eta}_t a(\cdot, \bar{\eta}_t)) dt \\ & \cdots + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \partial_{x_i} \partial_{x_j} (\bar{\eta}_t K(\cdot, \bar{\eta}_t) K(\cdot, \bar{\eta}_t)^T) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Consistency conditions for K

Comparing the dY_t terms in both equations, we see that

$$K = K^0 + \tilde{C} \quad (2)$$

with

$$-\operatorname{div}(\bar{\eta}_t K^0(\cdot, \bar{\eta}_t)) = (H - \bar{\eta}_t(H))^T \bar{\eta}_t. \quad (3)$$

Thus K is unique modulo $\ker [\operatorname{div}(\bar{\eta}_t \cdot)]$.

Interpretation of the gain term

Writing (3) in flux form

$$\int_{\partial D} \bar{\eta}_t (-\nu_D)^T K^0(\cdot, \bar{\eta}_t) ds = \int_D H^T x \bar{\eta}_t(x) dx - \bar{\eta}_t(H^T),$$

for arbitrary domain D , we see that

K is a velocity

such that

flux $K\eta =$ the difference to expected observation.

Consistency conditions for a

Using (2) to simplify

$$\sum_{i,j} \partial_{x_i} \partial_{x_j} (\bar{\eta}_t K(\cdot, \bar{\eta}_t) K(\cdot, \bar{\eta}_t)^T),$$

one derives that

$$\begin{aligned} a(\cdot, \bar{\eta}_t) = & -\frac{K(\cdot, \bar{\eta}_t)(H + \bar{\eta}_t(H))}{2} + \frac{((K(\cdot, \bar{\eta}_t) \cdot \nabla) K^T(\cdot, \bar{\eta}_t))^T}{2} \\ & \dots + \frac{K(\cdot, \bar{\eta}_t) \operatorname{div}(\bar{\eta}_t \tilde{C})^T}{2 \bar{\eta}_t} + \Omega_t^0 \end{aligned}$$

for some $\Omega_t^0 \in \ker[\operatorname{div}(\bar{\eta}_t \cdot)]$.

Representation via McKean–Vlasov SDEs II

Since \tilde{C} is constant, we note that

$$\frac{\operatorname{div}(\bar{\eta}_t \tilde{C})^T}{\bar{\eta}_t} = \tilde{C} \nabla \log \bar{\eta}_t.$$

Thus \bar{X} satisfies the McKean–Vlasov SDE

$$\begin{aligned} d\bar{X}_t &= B(\bar{X}_t)dt + Cd\bar{W}_t + \tilde{C}d\bar{V}_t \\ &\cdots + K(\bar{X}_t, \bar{\eta}_t) \left(dY_t - \frac{H\bar{X}_t + \bar{\eta}_t(H)}{2} \right) \\ &\cdots + \frac{((K(\cdot, \bar{\eta}_t) \cdot \nabla) K^T(\cdot, \bar{\eta}_t))^T}{2} dt \\ &\cdots + \frac{K(\bar{X}_t, \bar{\eta}_t) \tilde{C} \nabla \log \bar{\eta}_t}{2} dt + \Omega_t^0 dt. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Consistent mean-field representation in the Gaussian case

For $\bar{\eta}_t = \mathcal{N}(\bar{m}_t, \bar{P}_t)$ it is easy to show that one can choose

$$K^0(x, \bar{\eta}) = \bar{P}_t H^T$$

Thus \bar{X} is given by equation

$$\begin{aligned} d\bar{X}_t &= B(\bar{X}_t) dt + C d\bar{W}_t + \tilde{C} d\bar{V}_t \\ &\dots + (\bar{P}_t H^T + \tilde{C}) \left(dY_t - \frac{H(\bar{X}_t + \bar{m}_t)}{2} dt \right) \\ &\dots - (\bar{P}_t H^T + \tilde{C}) \tilde{C}^T \bar{P}_t^{-1} \frac{\bar{X}_t - \bar{m}_t}{2} dt. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Justification in the non Gaussian case

Integration by parts shows

$$\mathbb{E}_Y [K^0(\bar{X}_t, \bar{\eta}_t)] = \text{Cov}_Y [\bar{X}_t] H^T. \quad (6)$$

Thus the EnKBF is a universal 0-order approximation of consistent mean-field filters, for example w.r.t.

- ▶ Karhunen-Loeve expansion
- ▶ polynomial projections.

Well-posedness of the mean-field EnKBF - part I

The EnKBF (5) is a McKean–Vlasov equation with **locally Lipschitz** coefficients.

Proving well-posedness for:

▶ **SDEs:**

locally Lipschitz $\xrightarrow{\text{stopping time}}$ global Lipschitz

▶ **McKean–Vlasov:**

locally Lipschitz $\xrightarrow{\text{stopping time}}$ changed dynamics

Counter examples showing non uniqueness of locally Lipschitz McKean–Vlasov equations exist [S87].

Well-posedness of the mean-field EnKBF - part II

Basic idea: fixed point argument w.r.t. the covariance \bar{P} .

For **linear signals** \bar{P} **decouples** from (5) **via Kalman–Bucy equations** \implies use solution as the argument in fixed point equation [CDMJR21].

Not possible for nonlinear signals (no decoupled characterization of the fixed point).

[CNNR21] **proved well posedness** for a different version of the EnKBF **without** the **inverse** and under the assumption that H is **bounded**.

Well-posedness of the mean-field EnKBF - part III

Theorem

Assume that \bar{P}_0 is regular and that

$$\lambda_{\min}(CC^T) > 0.$$

Then there exists a unique solution \bar{X} of (5).

Main tool: Spectral bounds for \bar{P}

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\lambda_t^i}{dt} &\geq -2\text{Lip}(B) \sqrt{\text{tr}\bar{P}_t} \sqrt{\lambda_t^i} + \lambda_{\min}(CC^T) \\ &\quad \dots - \lambda_{\max}(H^T H) (\lambda_t^i)^2 - 2 \left| \tilde{C}_t R_t^{-1} H_t \right| \lambda_t^i \\ \frac{d\lambda_t^i}{dt} &\leq 2\text{Lip}(B) \sqrt{\text{tr}\bar{P}_t} \sqrt{\lambda_t^i} + \lambda_{\max}(CC^T) \\ &\quad \dots - \lambda_{\min}(H^T H) (\lambda_t^i)^2 + 2 \left| \tilde{C}_t R_t^{-1} H_t \right| \lambda_t^i. \end{aligned}$$

Well-posedness of the mean-field EnKBF - part IV

For $H = I$ and $\tilde{C} = 0$ upper bounds are robust w.r.t. perturbations of \bar{P} in the dynamics.

Our proof relies on the linearity of H .

nonlinear, Lipschitz continuous H + nonlinear signal dynamics not covered by existing literature.

EnKBF for correlated observation noise

A canonical way to approximate (5) uses the interacting particle system X^i , $i = 1, \dots, M$ determined by

$$\begin{aligned} dX_t^i &= B(X_t^i)dt + CdW_t^i + \tilde{C}dV_t^i \\ &\dots + \left(P_t^M H^T + \tilde{C} \right) \left(dY_t - \frac{H(X_t^i + x_t^M)}{2} dt \right) \\ &\dots - \left(P_t^M H^T + \tilde{C} \right) \tilde{C}^T \left(P_t^M \right)^+ \frac{X_t^i - x_t^M}{2} dt \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Problem: $\left(P_t^M \right)^+ \left(X_t^i - x_t^M \right)$ may develop singularities.

Well posedness of the EnKBF

Theorem

We assume that P_0^M is regular and that for all $t > 0$

$$\lambda_{\min}(CC^T) - \frac{2}{M-1} \left(1 + \sqrt{\dim(X)}\right) \left(|C|^2 + |\tilde{C}|^2\right) > 0. \quad (8)$$

Then there exists a unique strong solution to (7).

The proof uses bounds for both P^M and $(P^M)^+$ similar to the ones derived in the mean-field system.

Dynamics of the spectral decomposition cant be used due to missing differentiability.

Note that the regularity of P_0^M implies $M > \dim(X)$.

Computing the Pseudoinverse

The inflation term can be computed in linear complexity using the recursion found in [Kov79]

$$\begin{aligned} (P^M)^+ &= \left(\frac{(M-2)P^{M-1} + \hat{X}\hat{X}^T}{M-1} \right)^+ \\ &= (M-1) \frac{(P^{M-1})^+}{M-2} + (M-1) \frac{\frac{(P^{M-1})^+}{M-2} \hat{X}\hat{X}^T \frac{(P^{M-1})^+}{M-2}}{1 + \hat{X}^T \frac{(P^{M-1})^+}{M-2} \hat{X}} \\ &\quad \dots + (M-1) \frac{\hat{X}_\perp \hat{X}_\perp^T}{|\hat{X}_\perp|^4} \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{X} &:= X^M - x^M \\ \hat{X}_\perp &:= \hat{X}_\perp - P^{M-1} (P^{M-1})^+ \hat{X}_\perp \end{aligned}$$

Propagation of chaos

Theorem

Let \bar{X}^i , $i = 1, \dots, M$ be i.i.d. copies of \bar{X} and define the error term $r_t^i := X_t^i - \bar{X}_t^i$, then

$$\sup_{t \leq T} \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M |r_t^i|^2 \xrightarrow{M \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

in probability (and almost surely w.r.t. Y).

We can derive implicit rates

$$\sup_{M \in \mathbb{N}} \sqrt{M} \sqrt{\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \leq T \wedge \zeta_\kappa} \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M |r_t^i|^2 \right]} \leq C(\kappa, T) < +\infty,$$

where ξ_κ is a hitting time of level κ for both P^M and its inverse.



A. BAIN, D. CRISAN

Fundamentals of Stochastic Filtering

Book, 2009, Volume 60, ISBN : 978-0-387-76895-3



M. COGHI, T. NILSSEN, N. NÜSKEN, S. REICH

Rough McKean–Vlasov dynamics for robust ensemble Kalman filtering,

arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.06621, 2021



D. CRISAN, P. DEL MORAL, A. JASRA, H. RUZAYQAT






Log-Normalization Constant Estimation using the Ensemble Kalman-Bucy Filter with Application to High-Dimensional Models

arXiv:2101.11460, 2021.



D. CRISAN, J. XIONG *Approximate McKean–Vlasov representations for a class of SPDEs*

Stochastics, Vol. 82, 2010.

-  P. KOVANIC *On the Pseudoinverse of a Sum of Symmetric Matrices with Applications to Estimation*, Kybernetika, Vol. 15, No. 5, 1979.
-  NIKOLAS NÜSKEN, SEBASTIAN REICH, PAUL J. ROZDEBA *State and Parameter Estimation from Observed Signal Increments*
Entropy, Vol. 21, no. 5, 505, 2019.
-  S. PATHIRAJA, S. REICH, W. STANNAT *McKean–Vlasov SDEs in nonlinear filtering*
arXiv:2007:12658
-  M. SCHEUTZOW *Uniqueness and non-uniqueness of solutions of Vlasov-McKean equations*
j. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A) 43 (1987),246-256
-  T. YANG, P. MEHTA, S. MEYN *Feedback particle filter*
IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control, Vol. 58, 2465–2480, 2013.