A data assimilation approach for the estimation of mantle viscosities from paleo sea level observations
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Overview

- Goal: estimation of mantle viscosities
- Assimilation of relative paleo sea level observations in the GIA model VILMA
- Sandbox experiment with observations taken from reference run (identical twin setup)
- Assimilation of sea level rates of change
- Two viscosity distribution parameterizations:
  1. 3-layer model with two viscous mantle layers and (fixed) elastic lithosphere
  2. 1D profile with 152 viscous mantle layers and (fixed) elastic lithosphere
Glacial Isostatic Adjustment

- Earth’s visco-elastic response to changing mass load at the surface
- Involves lateral flow of mantle material
- Subsidence / uplift rates depend on mantle’s ability to flow (viscosity)
VILMA

- Forward model for Earth’s visco-elastic deformation due to glaciation / deglaciation (Klemann et al., 2008)
- Computes visco-elastic response of spherical Earth to surface mass load change
- Uses spectral finite-element approach (Martinec, 2000)
- Models deformation & solves sea-level equation to obtain relative sea levels
Data assimilation

• Use particle filter with stochastic resampling and perturbation
• During assimilation step particle performance is estimated based on observations
• Resampling of low-weight particles to model states of higher-weight particles
• Perturbation of particle viscosity values to
  - Avoid filter degeneracy
  - Explore new model state space
The particle filter

Bayes’ Theorem for pdfs

\[ p_m(\psi|d) = \frac{p_d(d|\psi)p_m(\psi)}{p_d(d)} \quad \text{(Leeuwen, 2009)} \]

where

- \( p_m(\psi|d) \): posterior pdf for model given the data
- \( p_d(d|\psi) \): likelihood of data given the model
- \( p_m(\psi) \): prior pdf of the model
- \( p_d(d) \): model evidence
Filter update

Posterior density:

\[ p(\psi|d) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \delta(\psi - \psi_i) \]

with weights

\[ w_i = \frac{p(d|\psi_i)}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} p(d|\psi_j)} \]

and likelihood

\[ p(d|\psi_i) = \exp\left( \frac{1}{2\sigma_d^2} (d - H\psi_i)^T(d - H\psi_i) \right) \]

with \( \psi_i \): model vector, \( H \): observation operator, \( d \): observation vector

3-layer case: perturbation based on ensemble variance: \( \Delta\psi_i \sim N(0, \sigma_{\text{ens}}^2) \)
The particle filter

- Particle filter with resampling and perturbation
- Make use of Parallel Data Assimilation Framework PDAF (Nerger et al., 2005)
The particle filter
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Identical twins

- Reference run $m_0$ with target viscosity values
- Ensemble initialization from reference model at 26.5 / 10.5 kyrs BP
- Observations at regular time intervals (1 kyr)
- Synthetic observations at locations where real observations exist
Observations

Locations of real observations, projected onto VILMA grid points:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Num. of observations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Global</td>
<td>1807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA &amp; Greenland</td>
<td>1309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fennoscandia</td>
<td>209</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results Part I: The 3-layer model

Investigate dependence on:

- Observation uncertainty
- Observation distribution
- Observation period
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Results Part II: The 1D-profile model

Perturbation strategies:

1. Scaling entire profile with common factor
2. Adjusting individual layers
3. Combination of 1 & 2
3-layer model vs. 1D profile

Comparison 3-layer model (red) vs. 1D profile (green)

1D profile:
- 12 fixed lithospheric layers
- 152 viscous mantle layers
- Viscosity in mantle layers parameterized with cubic hermite splines to ensure smoothness (20 knots)
- Perturbation of viscosity values of spline knots (black crosses) during assimilation
- Values for layers obtained by spline interpolation
1D profile: scaling

Black: target profile, grey: ensemble models, red: ensemble mean
1D profile: spline parameterization

Black: target profile, grey: ensemble models, red: ensemble mean
1D profile: scaling + profile shape adjustment
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Known discontinuities
Summary

- Successfully applied particle filter for mantle viscosity estimation in a sandbox setup
- Very good convergence to target values in the 3-layer parameterization
- For depth profile good convergence for shallow layers, deep layer viscosities are more difficult to constrain → slower convergence
Next steps

- Improve profile smoothness, i.e. adapt perturbation scheme
- Steps towards a more realistic temporal observation distribution
- Account for temporal observation uncertainties
- Couple to ice model for joint assimilation
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